Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-WGC Document 3 Filed 01/30/20 Page 1 of 10

1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA 4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, IN EQUITY NO. C-125-MMD 5 Subproceeding: 3:73-CV-00127-MMD-WGC Plaintiff, 6 [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE, DISCOVERY AND MOTION SCHEDULE 7 AND PROCEDURE Plaintiff-Intervener, 8 VS. WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT.) 9 a corporation, et al., 10 Defendants. 11 12 Pursuant to the Stipulated Scheduling Order and Discovery Plan (March 7, 2019) (ECF No. 2437) (Scheduling Order) and the Stipulation and Order for Extension of Time to Submit 13 14 Proposal Regarding Discovery Procedure and Agenda for Status Conference, and to Vacate Status 15 Conference of December 18, 2019 (December 12, 2019) (ECF No. 2591) (Extension Order), the United States and Walker River Paiute Tribe ("Tribe"), and the Walker River Irrigation District, 16 17 the Nevada Department of Wildlife, Lyon County, Centennial Livestock, Desert Pearl Farms, LLC, Peri Family Ranch, LLC, Peri & Peri, LLC and Frade Ranches, Inc., The Schroeder Group, 18 19 California State Agencies (California State Water Resources Control Board, California 20 Department of Fish and Wildlife and the California Department of Parks and Recreation), and 21 Mono County, California (the "Principal Defendants"), and Mineral County and the Walker Lake 22 Working Group were to submit a proposal to the Court on or before January 30, 2020 concerning, 23 among other things, coordination of discovery, including sharing discovery, scheduling discovery, 24 EXHIBIT B – Principal Defendants' Proposed Order

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-WGC Document 3 Filed 01/30/20 Page 2 of 10

and other matters related to discovery and concerning matters related to dispositive or partially dispositive motions (the "Discovery/Motion Proposal").

Pursuant to the Minute Order of December 17, 2019 (ECF 2592), the Court scheduled a Status Conference for February 19, 2020 at 10:00 a.m. to, among other things, issue any orders needed to resolve disputes, if any, concerning the Discovery/Motion Proposal among the parties, and to amend the Scheduling Order accordingly. By Minute Order of December 18, 2019 (ECF 2593) further directed the United States to prepare an agenda for that Status Conference to be submitted on or before February 14, 2020.

The United States and Walker River Tribe and the Principal Defendants have again conferred to attempt to resolve the areas of disagreement between them. Based upon their conference, although the parties have common ground, they also continue to have differences between them concerning the content of the Discovery/Motion Proposal.

The Court, having considered the arguments of the parties, and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. Parties to This Action. "Plaintiffs" are the United States of America and the Walker River Paiute Tribe as it relates to water rights claims asserted on behalf of the Tribe by the U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs and the Tribe (and as amended May 3, 2019) and collectively referred to herein as "Plaintiffs." For purposes of this Order, "Defendants" are those parties that filed answers to Plaintiffs' Amended Counterclaims on August 1, 2019 who continue to be represented by counsel, and are collectively referred to herein as "Defendants."

2. <u>Discovery</u>.

a. <u>Subjects of Discovery</u>. Discovery will be on the water rights claims for the
 Walker River Paiute Tribe asserted by the Plaintiffs and as amended on May 3, 2019. As well,

discovery will be on those responses and Affirmative Defenses asserted by Defendants on August 1, 2019.

and shall close on October 1, 2022. Written discovery may continue to close of discovery,

witnesses as the matter progresses, the party shall disclose them, and shall remain subject to the

counsel. For Plaintiffs, coordinating counsel will be counsel for the United States, Guss Guarino.

For Defendants, coordinating counsel will be counsel for the Walker River Irrigation District,

date to file dispositive or partially dispositive motions will be 30 days after close of discovery, or

October 31, 2022. Responses to dispositive or partially dispositive motions will be due ninety (90)

days after service, and replies in support of dispositive or partially dispositive motions will be due

forty-five (45) days after service. No page limit will be imposed on a brief to the extent that

multiple common issues are incorporated into a single brief filed on behalf of Plaintiffs or

Defendants. The provisions of the Local Rules shall apply to page limits for all other motions,

unless the Court orders otherwise. The Court recognizes that the scope of this matter, including

the number of claims, the number of issues and the number of participating defendants who, to a

provided it is served so that a response is due on or before October 1, 2022.

Period of Discovery. Discovery may commence on entry of this Order,

Disclosure of Lay Witnesses. When and as a party becomes aware of lay

Disclosure of Expert Witnesses. Expert witnesses shall be disclosed when

Discovery Coordination. Discovery will be coordinated through coordinating

Motions and Motion Coordination. Motions may be filed at any time. The last

3

b.

c.

d.

3.

Gordon DePaoli.

4.

obligation to supplement as required by Rule 26(e)(1).

their expert report is due as provided in paragraph 8 below.

- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-WGC Document 3 Filed 01/30/20 Page 4 of 10

certain extent, have somewhat differing interests, makes it difficult to require one brief on one issue. Nevertheless, the Plaintiffs and the Principal Defendants will coordinate, to the extent feasible, the filing of motions, the grounds for motions, and briefs supporting motions, and will do their best to file joint motions and joint briefs. There will be no page limit on briefs which address a common issue in a single brief. To the extent that that is not the case, unless the Court orders otherwise, the Local Rules concerning page limits will apply.

5. <u>Limits on Discovery</u>. Discovery will be conducted in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, applicable local rules of this Court, and this Order.

6. Written Discovery Requests.

a. <u>Interrogatories</u>. Plaintiffs (as a group) and Principal Defendants (as a group) will coordinate written discovery to avoid repetition and undue burden on a party in responding to interrogatories. The Principal Defendants, who are represented by a total of seven groups of attorneys, will be limited to a total of 175 interrogatories (25 x 7, for each Principal Defendant group).

b. Request for Production of Documents and Things ("RFPs"). Plaintiffs (as a group) and Principal Defendants (as a group) will coordinate RFPs to avoid repetition and undue burden on a party in responding to RFPs. The Parties will prepare and serve RFPs through coordinating counsel and responded to also through coordinating counsel in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 34. The Parties will be responsible for producing documents in their possession, custody, and control. For the United States, it will be responsible for producing documents in custody and control of the Department of the Interior/United States Bureau of Indian Affairs as well as those agencies that have asserted water right claims in the Walker River Basin of Nevada

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-WGC Document 3 Filed 01/30/20 Page 5 of 10

and California. The Principal Defendants, who are represented by a total of seven groups of attorneys, will be limited to a total of 175 RFPs (25 x 7, for each Principal Defendant group).

- Defendants (as a group) will coordinate RFAs to avoid repetition and undue burden on a party in responding to RFAs. The Parties will prepare and serve RFAs through coordinating counsel and responded to also through coordinating counsel in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 36. The Parties agree that RFAs will be served through coordinating counsel and responded to also through coordinating counsel in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 36. The Principal Defendants, who are represented by a total of seven groups of attorneys, will be limited to a total of 175 RFAs (25 x 7, for each Principal Defendant group).
- 7. Responses to Written Discovery Requests. In response to written discovery, a responding Party may respond to a request for documents by (i) providing a paper copy of the document, (ii) providing a searchable .pdf file through Adobe Acrobat software, or/and (iii) establishing a record repository for inspection and copying. Documents requiring specialized software other than Adobe Acrobat, such as spreadsheet files, may be produced in native format. Although documents might be electronically stored (such as email correspondence, reports, etc.), there is no need to otherwise pursue or produce information referred to as "electronically stored information" that generally refers to backups, duplicates, and underlying signature information (sometimes known as metadata) associated with an electronically stored document. However, a party may request native files for documents that are difficult to understand after they have been produced in the format specified herein or that contain potentially relevant embedded information, and such requests will not be unreasonably denied. Such a request shall be made according to the following protocol:

- a. The requesting party shall make any such request as soon as reasonably practical after receiving a document production.
- b. The requesting party shall provide a list of bates numbers of the documents that it is requesting to be produced in native file format.
- c. Within fourteen (14) days of receiving this request, the producing party will either (a) produce the requested native files to the extent reasonably practicable, or (b) respond in writing, setting forth its position on the production of the requested documents.
- d. If the parties are unable to agree as to the production of the requested documents in native format, the parties may submit the matter to the Court.

The Parties do not need to produce copies of documents that were previously produced or copies of documents that are publicly available (such as published materials one might find on the Internet, news publications, a public repository, or a library). If a Party wishes to rely on the public availability of a document, the responding Party will identify the specific location where the document is available to the public (e.g., specific Internet location, specific library, etc.). If a document has been previously produced, the response will identify the document previously produced, and when, and also refer to the location of it by bates number or otherwise.

8. Expert Discovery. Discovery from experts will be in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Provided, however, that all expert witnesses shall be required to submit a report consistent with the requirements of Rule 26(a)(2)(B), even if the witness would not otherwise be required to provide such a report and would only be subject to the requirements of Rule 26(a)(2)(C). The parties do not need to produce copies of documents that were previously produced or copies of documents that are publicly available (such as published materials one might

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-WGC Document 3 Filed 01/30/20 Page 7 of 10

find on the Internet, news publications, a public repository, a library - so long as the documents are identified and their specific location is provided).

Opening expert reports will be due on March 31, 2021. Responsive expert reports will be due on September 30, 2021. Rebuttal expert reports will be due on December 31, 2021. Expert depositions will take place between February 1, 2022 through August 1, 2022. However, if reasonably necessary for purposes of preparing responsive expert reports, with the consent of relevant parties, deposition of such expert witness may commence immediately after the expert witness's opening expert report has been disclosed. If the relevant parties do not consent, the party seeking to take a deposition prior to responsive or rebuttal expert reports may seek leave of Court to conduct such a deposition.

- 9. **Privileged Materials Located in the Offices of Counsel.** If a party is claiming privilege as to a requested document, it should be placed on a privilege log, regardless of where it is located.
- 10. **Privileged Communications (Attorney-Client Communications and Attorney** Work Product) and Privilege Log. Unless expressly stated otherwise, no discovery request should be construed to request communications exclusively between a party (including representatives, employees and agents) and its counsel, and work product created by counsel. Unless such communications are expressly requested or otherwise contain discoverable information (e.g., Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4)(C)), such materials will not be produced or placed on a privilege log. The parties will follow Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(5)(A) to provide a log of privileged or work product materials subject to any exception which might be applicable.
- 11. **Depositions.** Depositions will be taken in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 30 and 31. Lay depositions may be taken at any time. Expert depositions will be taken in accordance

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-WGC Document 3 Filed 01/30/20 Page 8 of 10

with the schedule set forth in paragraph 8 above. The parties will have the right to depose any identified expert or lay witness. Subject to specific agreement(s) otherwise, depositions will occur in the Reno/Sparks, Nevada, metropolitan area, be taken in a single day, and last for a maximum of 7 hours of testimony. Notices of deposition and subpoenas duces tecum directed to a deponent may be served on coordinating counsel by email 30 days before a scheduled deposition. Costs of lay/expert witness deponents (which include but are not limited to witness travel, expense, and time spent preparing for and attending the deposition) will be borne by the Party on whose behalf the lay/expert witness will be called. All other costs associated with depositions (such as rented office space, cost of court reporters, etc.) shall be borne by the Party taking such deposition. For all oral depositions, the Parties request the right of review pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(e). The limit in Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(a)(2)(A)(i) of 10 depositions per side will not apply to expert or lay witnesses or to persons who have been identified in initial disclosures or in supplemental disclosures.

Evidence and agree that in the event of an inadvertent disclosure of privileged/protected material, such privilege or protection is not waived or forfeit by inadvertent disclosure. If a party determines that it has produced a document upon which it wishes to make a claim of privilege, the producing party shall, within 14 days of making such determination, give all counsel of record notice of the claim of privilege. Any party that complies with this paragraph will be deemed to have taken reasonable steps to rectify disclosures of privileged or protected information or materials. If a party identifies a document that appears on its face or in light of facts known to the party to be subject to another party's claim of privilege, the party identifying the potential claim of privilege is under a good-faith obligation to notify the party holding the potential claim of privilege.

Recovery, management, and disputed associated with disclosed privileged material will be governed by FRCP 26(b)(5)(B).

Documents Located at American Indian Records Repository. When the special

4 procedures to access records at the American Indian Records Repository are known, any party may

13.

seek an amendment to this Order, if necessary.

14. Extensions of Modifications of Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order. LR26-4 governs modifications, extensions of discovery plan and scheduling order. Any stipulation or

motion to extend a deadline set forth in this Order must be filed with the Court no later than 3 days

prior to the deadline sought to be extended.

- **15.** <u>Supersedes Scheduling Order</u>. To the extent there is any conflict between the Scheduling Order (ECF 2437) and this Order, the provisions of this Order shall control.
- **16.** Parties May Seek Relief From Court. Nothing in this Order shall prevent any party from seeking permission by stipulation and/or order of the Court for relief from any provision of this Order.
- County and the Walker Lake Working Group do not anticipate actively engaging in the discovery process described in the paragraphs above, and coordinating counsel for Principal Defendants does not have to coordinate with them in the discovery process. With respect to motions, including dispositive motions, Defendants Mineral County and Walker Lake Working Group will comply with the schedule detailed above, but they and the Principal Defendants are not required to coordinate with respect to motions. Plaintiffs and Principal Defendants agree that with respect to any written discovery served, Defendants Mineral County and the Walker Lake Working Group are entitled to copies of responses to such discovery, and that they are entitled to participate in any

Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-WGC Document 3 Filed 01/30/20 Page 10 of 10

1	scheduled depositions. To the extent that Defendants Mineral County and the Walker Lake	
2	Working Group later determine that they in fact need to more fully participate in the discovery	
3	process, upon motion and good cause shown, the Court will consider any such request and grant	
4	any appropriate relief.	
5	Dated:	, 2020
6		
7		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8		UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		10